

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/284,690	ROUSSEAU, LOUIS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexa A. Doroshenk	1764	

All Participants:

(1) Alexa A. Doroshenk.

(2) Nicolas Seckel.

Status of Application: After Final Amendment submitted

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2004

Time: 3:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alexa Doroshenk
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner presented Attorney Seckel with a proposed Examiner's Amendment to the title and specification which Attorney Seckel approved. The examiner will enter the After Final Amendment along with the Examiner's Amendment to put the application in condition for allowance..